

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/579,911	HANZAWA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	FERNANDO N. HIDALGO	2827

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) FERNANDO N. HIDALGO (3) _____

(2) Patrick L. Miller (4) _____

Date of interview: 23 June 2009.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: 11-20.

Identification of prior art discussed: n/a.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative, P.L. Miller, submitted an authorization for an examiner's amendment whereby claim(s) 11-17 are cancelled, claim 20 changes dependency from claim 16 to claim 18. Since previously claim 18 had been found in condition of allowance, and given that claims 19-20 depend from claim 18, the application at large, wherein claims 1-10 had previously cancelled by the applicant, is in condition for allowance.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary or Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.